#### Multinuclear solid state NMR studies of phosphate glass samples

Samples:

> 45%  $P_2O_5$  + 30% CaO + (25-*x*)% Na<sub>2</sub>O + *x*%  $\delta$ Where  $\delta$  = Cu<sub>2</sub>O, CuO,  $\frac{1}{2}$  Cu<sub>2</sub>O

And:

> 50%  $P_2O_5$  + 30% CaO + (20-*x*)% Na<sub>2</sub>O + *x*%  $\delta$ Where  $\delta = Ag_2SO_4$ 

## Example of the Cu doped spectra obtained using the 360MHz spectrometer



#### **Peak Integration**



#### Interpretation of Cu Data (I)

#### **CuO doped samples:**

- Q<sup>1</sup> remains constant
- Q<sup>2</sup> decreases with increasing CuO
- Q<sup>3</sup> increases with increasing CuO
  - $\therefore Q^2 \longrightarrow Q^3$

Cu<sub>2</sub>O and ½ Cu<sub>2</sub>O doped samples:

- Q<sup>1</sup> decreases with increasing Cu<sub>2</sub>O
- Q<sup>2</sup> decreases with increasing Cu<sub>2</sub>O
- Q<sup>3</sup> increases with increasing Cu<sub>2</sub>O

#### Interpretation of Cu Data (II)

This correlation between increasing Cu content and the change in Q species suggests:

- As Cu % increases we are forming more bridging oxygen atoms between the <sup>31</sup>P atoms in the sample.
- This was unexpected as the Cu atoms were expected to bond to these oxygen atoms, hence reducing the number of bridging oxygen atoms to phosphorous atoms.



#### Interpretation of Cu Data (III)

- The ternary sample 45% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> + 30% CaO + 25% Na<sub>2</sub>O was found to contain less Q<sup>1</sup> than any of the 1% Cu doped samples which brings into question the general decreasing trend in the Q<sup>1</sup> species found in each case.
- Q<sup>2</sup> → Q<sup>3</sup> when more Cu is added to the system.
- The next step is to find out why...???

## Example of the Ag doped spectra obtained using the 360MHz spectrometer



#### Interpretation of Ag Data (I)

#### Ag doped samples:

- Predicted to be all Q<sup>2</sup>
- All 100% Q<sup>2</sup> species present until 20% of Ag<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> is added
- At 20% doping we have 87% Q<sup>2</sup>,8% Q<sup>3</sup> and 4% Q<sup>1</sup>
- Also present is an unidentified peak (2%)
- Why???



#### **Peak Integration**



#### Ag doped, crystallised phosphate glasses

- The crystalline phase is less anisotropic than the glass phase, this gives rise to:
  - Narrower peaks
  - No related side bands
  - Different T<sub>1</sub> relaxation to the glass peak



#### **Effects of different T<sub>1</sub> relaxation**



## **Table of Data**

0	Pe	ak 1 (Q¹)		Pe	ak 2 (Q²)		Pe	ak 3 (Q³)	
content	δ <sub>iso</sub> / ppm ±0.2ppm	∆	<b>  / %</b> ± 1%	δ <sub>iso</sub> / ppm ± 0.2ppm	∆	/ % ± 1%	δ <sub>iso</sub> / ppm ±0.2ppm	∆	/% ±1%
0	-6.5	8.0	16	-22.5	9.8	84			0
CuO 1%	2.6	10.1	23	-13.5	12.1	77			0
Cu <sub>2</sub> O 1%	2.2	10.0	24	-13.6	10.8	76			0
half Cu <sub>2</sub> O 1%	2.2	9.5	22	-13.7	11.2	78			0
CuO 5%	-6.6	12.1	23	-22.2	12.3	69	-34.8	12.8	8
Cu <sub>2</sub> O 5%	-6.7	10.9	18	-22.5	12.1	74	-35.9	14.3	7
half Cu <sub>2</sub> O 5%	-7.1	10.6	17	-22.8	11.8	78	-34.8	11.6	6
CuO 10%	-7.3	13.9	22	-23.0	14.1	64	-38.0	14.6	14
Cu <sub>2</sub> O 10%	0.4	13.1	16	-15.0	13.3	73	-28.0	12.6	10
half Cu <sub>2</sub> O 10%	-7.7	12.7	14	-23.5	13.3	75	-37.7	15.0	10

### **Potential Papers**

- Nb2O5 SiO2 Sol-Gel Binary & Nb2O5 TiO2 - SiO2 Sol-Gel Ternary XRD & EXAFS (Possibility to split into two papers) All results finished, just need to write paper/papers.
  - TiO2 SiO2 in-situ gelation XRD (Not sure if the results are particularly interesting)

Results have been looked at and don't seem to show much change with time. Needs further work.

TiO2 – SiO2 in-situ heat treatment XRD Results look promising. Need to fit individual scans.

# $(Nb_2O_5)_{0.0375} - (TiO_2)_{0.075} - (SiO_2)_{0.8875}$ heated *ex-situ*



# $(Nb_2O_5)_{0.0375} - (TiO_2)_{0.075} - (SiO_2)_{0.8875}$ heated in-situ



# $(Nb_2O_5)_{0.0375} - (TiO_2)_{0.075} - (SiO_2)_{0.8875}$ heated in-situ



## Differences caused by errors in the density





### UCL (Eastman Dental Institute) - Kent-Warwick-Imperial Sol- Gel Project Meeting

### **Dr. Ifty Ahmed**





#### Silver-Doped Glasses Investigated:

Composition Mol%				
	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	CaO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	Ag
P50 C30 N20	50	30	20	0
P50 C30 N19 + Ag = 1	50	30	19	1
P50 C30 N18 + Ag = 2	50	30	18	2
P50 C30 N17 + Ag = 3	50	30	17	3
P50 C30 N16 + Ag = 4	50	30	16	4
P50 C30 N15 + Ag = 5	50	30	15	5
P50 C30 N10 + Ag = 10	50	30	10	10
P50 C30 Ag = 20	50	30	0	20



## Degradation Studies conducted in Nutrient Broth and dH2O:



Study conducted in Nutrient Broth

Study conducted in dH2O





## pH Studies conducted in Nutrient Broth and dH2O:



#### Study conducted in Nutrient Broth

Study conducted in dH2O



#### Cation Release from Silver-Doped PBG's:



Sodium ion release profiles

Calcium ion release profiles



#### Anion Release from Silver-Doped PBG's (I):





 $P_2O_7^{4-}$  anion release profiles



#### Anion Release from Silver-Doped PBG's (II):



 $P_3O_9^{3-}$  anion release profiles

 $P_3O_{10}^{5-}$  anion release profiles



#### Density of Ag Compositions Investigated:







#### Average Tg Data Using DTA + DSC:







#### Average Tg Data Using DTA + DSC:







#### UCL (Eastman Dental Institute) - Kent-Warwick-Imperial Sol- Gel Project Meeting

E. A. Abou Neel and J.C. Knowles





#### K<sub>2</sub>O Containing Glass Code and Compositions Investigated

Glass code	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	CaO	Na <sub>2</sub> O	K <sub>2</sub> O
	(mol %)	content (mol %)	content (mol %)	content (mol %)
0 % K <sub>2</sub> O	50	20	30	0
5 % K <sub>2</sub> O	50	20	25	5
10 % K <sub>2</sub> O	50	20	20	10
15 % K <sub>2</sub> O	50	20	15	15
20 % K <sub>2</sub> O	50	20	10	20
25 % K <sub>2</sub> O	50	20	5	25
30 % K <sub>2</sub> O	50	20	0	30





#### **Differential Thermal Analysis**





#### **Accumulative Degradation Study in Deionised Water**



eastman Dental INSTITUTE



#### pH Change







#### Titanium Containing Glass Compositions under Investigation

Glass code	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> content (mol %)	CaO content (mol %)	Na <sub>2</sub> O content (mol %)	TiO <sub>2</sub> content (mol %)
1 % TiO <sub>2</sub>	50	30	19	1
3 % TiO <sub>2</sub>	50	30	18	3
5 % TiO <sub>2</sub>	50	30	17	5



### Cell Viability of MG63 on Titanium Containing Glass Discs



#### eastman Dental INSTITUTE

## Sol-gel Meeting 27/01/2006

#### Vicky FitzGerald





## Bioglass<sup>®</sup> XRD







## Bioglass<sup>®</sup> XRD







## Tantalum Model 10%

XRD Data			RMC Model		
Bond	Distance / Å	Co-ordination	Bond	Distance / Å	Co-ordination
Si - O	1.61	4.5	Si - O	1.61	3.79
Ta - O	1.91	3.6	Ta - O	1.81	4.63
Ta - O	2.06	1.1	Ta - O	1.95	
0-0	2.64	4.7	0 - 0	2.62	5.16
Si - Si	3.05	4.4	Si - Si	3	3.94
Si - Ta	3.38	6.1	Si - Ta	3.53	3
Ta - Ta	3.72	2.2	Ta - Ta	3.75	0.32





Si-O close-up











## Tantalum Model 40%

XRD Data			RMC Model		
Bond	Distance / Å	Co-ordination	Bond	Distance / Å	Co-ordination
Si - O	1.61	4.1	Si - O	1.61, 1.90	3.22
Ta - O	1.9	2.9	Ta - O	1.7	4.79
Ta - O	2.07	2	Ta - O	2	
0-0	2.57	5.1	0 - 0	2.21	5.13
Si - Si	3.03	3.5	Si - Si	3.21	3.86
Si - Ta	3.35	6.1	Si - Ta	3.25	3
Ta - Ta	3.75	2.7	Ta - Ta	3.55	2.75





Si-O close-up











## S70C30 Foam RMC

XRD Data			RMC Model		
Bond	Distance / Å	Co-ordination	Bond	Distance / Å	Co-ordination
Si - O	1.61	4.15	Si - O	1.61	3.89
Ca - O	2.32	2.4	Ca - O	2.2	Total 5.97 Ca-O
Ca - O	2.5	2.4	Ca - O	2.55	
0 - 0	2.63	5.7	0-0	2.45	4.77
Ca - O	2.76	1	Ca - O	3.1	
Si - Si	3.03	4	Si - Si	3	3.72
Si - Ca	3.22	not fitted	Si - Ca	3.22	1.08
Ca - Ca	3.52	not fitted	Ca - Ca	3.46	2.46





Si-O close-up







#### **PHOSPHOBORATE GLASSES**

Sol-gel synthesis of Phosphoborate glasses <u>without SiO</u> Very few studies:

P<sub>2</sub>O5-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Amorphous up to 500 C (J. Mater. Chem., 2005, 15,1640)

P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Li<sub>2</sub>O Crystallise at 120 C (J. Mater. Res., 1999, 14, 4)

#### $P_2O_5-B_2O_3-Na_2O_3$

 $P_2O_5-B_2O_3-CaO$ 

#### **Sol-Gel Synthesis**

**P** precursor:  $P_2O_5 + 3EtOH \rightarrow OP(OEt)(OH)_2 + OP(OEt)_2(OH)$ 



P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	$B_2O_3$	Na <sub>2</sub> O	CaO	B Precursor	
30	40	30		B(OEt)3	х
30	30	40		B(OEt)3	х
40	40	20		B(OEt)3	
40	30	30		B(OEt)3	
40	20	40		H3BO3	
40	20	40		B(OEt)3	
40	10	50		B(OEt)3	х
45	10	45		B(OEt)3	
50	40		10	B(OEt)3	
50	30		20	B(OEt)3	

Gelation time 10-15 days







Intensity (a.u.)

#### XRD 300 C





#### Conclusions

 $P_2O_5-B_2O_3-Na_2O$ and  $P_2O_5-B_2O_3-CaO$ 

All mainly amorphous up to 200C Samples with  $B_2O_3 < 40$  mol% amorphous up to 300C Samples with  $B_2O_3 > 40$  mol% BPO<sub>4</sub> phase ppt

#### **Future Work**

 $\mathsf{P}_2\mathsf{O}_5\text{-}\mathsf{B}_2\mathsf{O}_3\text{-}\mathsf{Na}_2\mathsf{O}\,$  with  $\mathsf{P}_2\mathsf{O}_5$  50 mol%

Add small amount of SiO<sub>2</sub>

Characterise BPO<sub>4</sub> phase (nanocrystalline?) BPO<sub>4</sub> Li-doped for Li-ion batteries Low dielectric constant Samples sent for TEM

#### Ag K-edge XANES

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

Problem: Ag K-edge XANES not sensitive to small changes in geometry of Ag site (*Sipr et al. Phys. Rev. B* 69 134201)

#### Ag K-edge EXAFS

#### P50N10Ag20

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

EF	13.8	VPI	0.00	AFAC	0.93		
EM N	66.4	EMAX	661.	SPARE7	-1.00		
LMAX	25.0	MTR1	1.44	MTR2	1.00		
MFR3	1.28	MTR4	1.97	MTR5	1.44		
FI 0.0	00086 1	R 35.68	R0 0	.000 A0	0.010	-1	0
NI	4.1 T1	2 (O) R	21 2	.277 Al	0.029	1	0
N2	3.9 T2	2(O) R	2 2	.626 A2	0.040	1	0
NB	6.0 T3	3 (P) R	3 3	.630 A3	0.052	1	0

Exper i nent r25506.prn r25506.par Paraneters Phases hifts exphsa1.agc exphsa1.0 exphsa1.p exphsa1.ca exphsal.ag

Sample	Shell	N	R / Å	A / Å-2	<i>R</i> / %
P50C30N10Ag10	Ag-O Ag-O Ag-P	4 2 6	2.22 2.55 3.41	0.024 0.021 0.042	35.5
P50C30Ag20	Ag-O Ag-O Ag-P	4.1 3.9 <b>6</b>	2.28 2.63 3.63	0.029 0.040 0.052	35.7

#### High energy XRD on Ag-doped samples

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

Sample	Density /gcm <sup>-3</sup>		
	UKC	UCL	
P50C30N20	2.52	2.60	
P50C30N10Ag10	2.78	2.81	
P50C30Ag20	2.42		

#### Neutron diffraction

	Instrument: GEM	User Newport
	Run number 27231	Run start time: 24-Nov-2005 10 56 12
	Spectrum 6	Plot date: 26-Jan-2006 17:36:29+0100
0.01		Grouping: 1
	Location: C:70RUMNov05EM27231.dcs01	

![](_page_51_Figure_2.jpeg)

2.5 r / Angelrome

3

3.5

4

4,5

5

2

76

0.5

1

1,5

#### **Sample Preparation**

SiO<sub>2</sub>

0.6

0.6

0.6

0.6

0.7

0.6

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)